STATE OF ILLINOIS ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

Ameren Transmission Company of Illinois	}	
	}	
Petition for a Certificate of Public Convenience	}	
and Necessity, pursuant to Section 8-406.1 of	}	
the Illinois Public Utilities Act, and an Order	}	
pursuant to Section 8-503 of the Public Utilities	}	Case No.: 12-0598
Act, to Construct, Operate and Maintain a New	}	
High Voltage Electric Service Line and Related	}	
Facilities in the Counties of Adams, Brown, Cass,	}	
Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Edgar,	}	
Fulton, Macon, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie,	}	
Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, and Shelby,	}	
Illinois.	}	

SUR-REBUTTAL TESTIMONY ON REHEARING

OF

STEVEN J. LAZORCHAK, P.E., CEM

Intervenor MSSCLPG Exhibit 14.0

1	SUF	R-REBUTTAL TESTIMONY ON REHEARING OF STEVEN J. LAZORCHAK, P.E., CEM
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
3	A.	Steven J. Lazorchak. 15322 Buckley Road, Marion, Illinois 62959.
4	Q.	DID YOU PREVIOUSLY OFFER DIRECT AND REBUTTAL TESTIMONY ON
5		REHEARING IN THIS CASE WHICH WAS MARKED AS INTERVENOR
6		MSSCLPG EXHIBITS 12.0 AND 13.0 RESPECTIVELY?
7	A.	Yes I did.
8	Q.	HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE DIRECT AND REBUTTAL TESTIMONY ON
9		REHEARING WHICH HAS BEEN FILED IN THIS MATTER BY COMMISSION
10		STAFF AND BY ATXI?
11	A.	Yes I have.
12	Q.	FROM AN ENGINEERING PERSPECTIVE, AFTER REVIEWING THE DIRECT
13		AND REBUTTAL TESTIMONY ON REHEARING WHICH HAS BEEN FILED IN
14		THIS MATTER BY COMMISSION STAFF AND BY ATXI, HAVE YOU BEEN
15		ABLE TO IDENTIFY ANY JUSTIFICATION FOR SELECTION OF THE
16		REBUTTAL RECOMMENDED ROUTE OVER THE MSCLTF ROUTE?
17	A.	No. I can find no such justification from an engineering perspective.
18	Q.	AFTER REVIEWING THE DIRECT AND REBUTTAL TESTIMONY ON
19		REHEARING WHICH HAS BEEN FILED IN THIS MATTER BY COMMISSION

A. Yes I do. And none of this deviates at all from any of the testimony I have filed previously in this matter. If anything, my opinion that the MSCLTF Route should be the routing option

STAFF AND BY ATXI, DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION?

20

21

22

chosen for the segment of the Project from Meredosia to Pawnee, Illinois, has only been bolstered. The following should serve as a bit of a summation of my prior testimony. The engineering process (in this case the selection of the optimal route for the 345 kV segment of transmission line between Meredosia and Pawnee) includes a variety of realistic constraints, such as economic factors, safety, reliability, aesthetics, ethics, and social impact. The economic factors, in particular the "least-cost" approach, should be commensurate with good engineering practice, Illinois Commerce Commission and MISO mandated, and should be of particular import to the shareholders of ATXI as it is a significant financial contributor to this Project. There can be a distinction drawn between "least initial dollar cost" and "least-cost means," which would take into account factors beyond the initial costs of design, construction, and operation, but no such evidence has been presented to date that would justify an initial expenditure of approximately \$36.78 million more to construct the Rebuttal Recommended Route as opposed to the MSCLTF Route.

- Q. DID YOU TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY FILED IN THIS CASE BY COMMISSION STAFF WITNESS GREG ROCKROHR?
- A. Yes I did. I particularly took into consideration his rebuttal testimony at lines 71 82. It appears to me that the MSCLTF Route (now advocated by MSSCLPG) would not be opposed by any of the intervenors in this matter.
- Q. IN YOUR OPINION, HAS ANY TESTIMONY BEEN OFFERED IN THIS CASE FROM AN ENGINEERING STANDPOINT THAT WOULD JUSTIFY CONSTRUCTION OF THE LINE AT AN ADDITIONAL COST OF \$36.78 MILLION AND OVER A ROUTE THAT IS 18.2 MILES LONGER, AS WOULD BE THE CASE

45		IF THE REBUTTAL RECOMMENDED ROUTE WERE CHOSEN OVER THE
46		MSCLTF ROUTE?
47	A.	There is certainly nothing in the record that would justify the expenditure of the additional
48		\$36.78 million for a much (18.2 miles) longer line.
49	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
50	А	Ves it does